# Engineering the unitary charge-conjugation operator of quantum field theory for particle-antiparticle using trapped ions and light fields in cavity QED

N.G. de Almeida[1, 2]

[1]*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Goiás, 74.001-970, Goiânia (GO), Brazil*

[2]*Departamento de Fisica Fundamental and IUFFyM, Universidad de Salamanca, Spain*

arXiv:1401.5746v1 [quant-ph] 22 Jan 2014

We present a method to engineer the unitary charge conjugation operator, as given by quantum field theory, in the highly controlled context of quantum optics, thus allowing one to simulate the creation of charged particles with well-defined momenta simultaneously with their respective antiparticles. Our method relies on trapped ions driven by a laser field and interacting with a single mode of a light field in a high Q cavity.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 32.80.-t, 42.50.Dv,42.50.Pq,

## I. INTRODUCTION

The charge-conjugation, together with parity and time reversal operators, gives rise to one of the most profound discrete symmetry in nature. Charge-conjugation operator replaces the charged field by their charge-conjugate fields, or, equivalently, transform a particle into its corresponding antiparticle. Parity operator, on the other hand, produces a space reflexion on the particle and antiparticle states of the field, while time reversal, in turn, act on the Hilbert space in the same form as the parity operator. These charge, parity, and time reversal (CPT) symmetries each is known to be valid for free (noninteracting) fields, and culminate in the CPT theorem [1, 2], which states that a local and Lorentz-covariant quantum field theory is invariant under the combined CPT operation [3]. Take as an example the Klein-Gordon field, describing a field with electrical charged particles of spin zero. In order to explain the emergence of particles charged electrically, we must resort to complex fields, such that, for example, the Hermitian charge operator as well as the charge conjugation and parity operators can be build [1, 4]. In this paper we show how to engineer an operator similar to the unitary charge-conjugation operator of two modes of both the complex Klein-Gordon

and Dirac fields in the context of trapped ions [5], thus opening the possibility to simulate creation and annihilation of particle and antiparticles in a very controllable scenario.

## II. THE THEORY

Although well known in quantum field theory, let us begin, for clarity, with a brief review of the main results used here. The charge conjugation is a symmetry of the theory, as for example, given a (density) Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x})$ and a unitary charge conjugation operator $C$, then $C^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x})\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x})$.

To be specific, consider first [1, 4] the complex Klein-Gordon field for spin zero particles

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x}) = (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi^*) - m^2 \phi\phi^* \tag{1}$$

where $\phi$ and $\phi^*$ are considered independent complex fields, and $m$ is the mass of the particle associated to the field excitations. The Euler-Lagrange equations lead to the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\phi = 0. \tag{2}$$

In field quantization, the complex fields $\phi$ and $\phi^*$ are regarded as operators, such that $(x \equiv (x, y, z), k \equiv (k_x, k_y, k_z))$

$$\phi(x,t) = \sum_k [a_k u_k(x,t) + b_k^\dagger u_k^*(x,t)], \tag{3}$$

$$\phi^\dagger(x,t) = \sum_k [a_k^\dagger u_k^*(x,t) + b_k u_k(x,t)], \tag{4}$$

are the field operators replacing the complex scalar fields. The functions $u_k(x,t)$, and $u_k^*(x,t)$ are box normalized imposing periodic boundary conditions at a surface of a cube of volume $V$ such that $(u_j, u_l) = \delta_{jl}$, $j, l = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$, and imposing commutation relations to the the bosonic operators $a_k$, $a_k^\dagger$, and $b_k$, $b_k^\dagger$. Both $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x})$ in Eq.(1) and Eq.(2) are invariant under the gauge transformation of first kind $\phi \to \phi exp(i\Lambda)$, and so by the Noether's theorem there is a conserved current $j^\mu$and a conserved charge $Q = \int j^0 d^3x$ , whose density is given by $\mathcal{Q} = -i : \phi^\dagger \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \phi \frac{\partial \phi^\dagger}{\partial t} :$, and :: denotes normal ordering. Using Eqs.(3)-(4) and the definition $(\psi, \chi) \equiv i \int d^3x \psi^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial_0} \chi = i \int d^3x (\partial_0 \psi^* \chi - \psi^* \partial_0 \chi)$ , the charge operator can be written as

$$Q = \sum_k (a_k^\dagger a_k - b_k^\dagger b_k). \tag{5}$$

Note that, given an eigenstate $|q\rangle$ of $Q$ with eigenvalue $q$, then $\phi^\dagger |q\rangle$ ($\phi |q\rangle$) is also an eigenstate of $Q$ with eigenvalue $q+1$ ($q-1$), and, since $Q$ commutes with the Hamiltonian, it is a conserved quantity, as should.

The charge-conjugation operator $C$ is defined to transform a particle into its antiparticle, i.e.,

$$C^{-1}\phi(x,t)C = p\phi(x,t); C^{-1}\phi^\dagger(x,t)C = p^*\phi(x,t) \tag{6}$$

which is equivalent to $C^{-1}a_kC = pb_k$, $C^{-1}a_k^\dagger C = p^*b^\dagger$, and $C^{-1}b_kC = p^*a_k$, $C^{-1}b_k^\dagger C = pa$, with $|p| = \pm 1$. The charge-conjugation operator $C$ that we want to simulate has the following unitary form[1]

$$C = \exp\left[-\frac{i\pi}{2}\sum_k \left[a_k^\dagger b_k + b_k^\dagger a_k - p\left(a_k^\dagger a_k + b_k^\dagger b_k\right)\right]\right]. \tag{7}$$

Note that $Q$ anticomutes with $C$: $CQ = -QC$, and therefore in general they do not possess the same eigenstate.

Consider now the Dirac field for particles of mass $m$ and spin $1/2$, whose Lagrange density is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{x}) = \frac{i}{2}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu\psi\right) - \left(\partial_\mu\overline{\psi}\right)\gamma^\mu\psi\right] - m\overline{\psi}\psi \equiv \frac{i}{2}\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\overleftrightarrow{\partial}\psi - m\overline{\psi}\psi \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma^\mu$, $\mu = 0,1,2,3$ are the Dirac matrices and $\overline{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$. The Euler-Lagrange equations now lead to the Dirac equation

$$\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m\right)\psi = 0, \tag{9}$$

whose general solutions can be expanded in plane waves ($k \equiv (k_x, k_y, k_z)$

$$\psi(x,t) = \sum_{k,s}[c_{k,s}u_{k,s}\exp -i(kx - \omega_k t) + d_{k,s}^\dagger v_{k,s}\exp i(kx - \omega_k t)], \tag{10}$$

$$\psi^\dagger(x,t) = \sum_{k,s}[c_{k,s}^\dagger u_{k,s}^\dagger\exp i(kx - \omega_k t) + d_{k,s}v_{k,s}^\dagger\exp -i(kx - \omega_k t)], \tag{11}$$

where $s = 1, 2$ denotes the covariantly generalized spin vector [1, 4] for the orthogonal energy positive $u_{k,s}(x,t)$ and energy negative $v_{k,s}(x,t)$ spinors, and the fermionic operators $c_{k,s}$,$c^{\dagger}_{k,s}$ and $d_{k,s}$,$d^{\dagger}_{k,s}$ obeys anticomutation relations, being interpreted as creator and annihilator of particles and antiparticles. Using Eq.(10)-(11) and the orthogonality relations for the spinors: $(u^{\dagger}_{k,s}, u_{k,s'}) = (v^{\dagger}_{k,s}, v_{k,s'}) = \delta_{ss'}$ and $(u^{\dagger}_{-k,s}, v_{k,s'}) = (v^{\dagger}_{-k,s}, u_{k,s'}) = 0$, one finds for the charge operator $Q = \int j^0 d^3x = e\int d^3x \psi^{\dagger}(x,t)\psi(x,t)$

$$Q = e\sum_{k,s}(c^{\dagger}_{k,s}c_{k,s} - d^{\dagger}_{k,s}d_{k,s}), \tag{12}$$

where the elementary charge $e$ was explicitly inserted in the current density vector $j_{\mu} = e\overline{\psi}(x,t)\gamma_{\mu}\psi(x,t)$. Now, similarly to the spinless case, requiring that the charge-conjugation operator $C$ , besides being unitary, transforms a particle into its antiparticle as

$$C^{-1}c_kC = d_k,\, C^{-1}c^{\dagger}_kC = d^{\dagger}_k, \tag{13}$$

$$C^{-1}d_kC = c_k,\, C^{-1}d^{\dagger}_kC = c^{\dagger}_k, \tag{14}$$

the $C$ operator, which we want to engineer, reads[1]

$$C = \exp -\frac{i\pi}{2}\sum_{k,s}\left[d^{\dagger}_{k,s}c_{k,s} + c^{\dagger}_{k,s}d_{k,s} - c^{\dagger}_{k,s}c_{k,s} - d^{\dagger}_{k,s}d_{k,s}\right]. \tag{15}$$

## III. ENGINEERING THE UNITARY CHARGE-CONJUGATION OPERATOR FOR KLEIN-GORDON AND DIRAC FIELDS

For our purpose, we consider just one pair of particle antiparticle, such that the sum in Eqs.(7) disappears and we are lead essentially with Hamiltonian of the type $H_{CC} = \frac{\pi}{2}\left[a^{\dagger}b + b^{\dagger}a - p\left(a^{\dagger}a + b^{\dagger}b\right)\right]$, which, in the interaction picture, reads $H_{INT} = \frac{\pi}{2}\left(a^{\dagger}b + b^{\dagger}a\right)$. Note that the Hamiltonian $H_{CC}$ producing the particle anti-particle charge-conjugation operation can be combined in a single Hamiltonian given by $H_I = ga^{\dagger}b + g * b^{\dagger}a$, provided that we choose $g = |g|\exp(i\pi/2)$. Now, consider a single two-level ion of mass $m$ whose frequency of transition between the excited state $|e\rangle$ and the ground state $\lfloor g\rangle$ is $\omega_0$. This ion is trapped by a harmonic potential of frequency $\nu$ along the axis $x$ and driven by a laser field of frequency $\omega_l$. The laser field promotes transitions between the excited and ground

states of the ion through the dipole constant $\Omega = |\Omega| \exp(i\phi_l)$. Finally, the ion is put inside a cavity containing a single mode of a standing wave field of frequency $\omega_f$, such that the Hamiltonian for this system reads $H = H_0 + H_1$, where ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H_0 = \omega_f a^\dagger a + \hbar\nu b^\dagger b + \frac{\omega_0}{2}\sigma_z \tag{16}$$

$$H_1 = \sigma_{eg}\Omega \exp[i(k_l x - \omega_l t)] + \lambda a \sigma_{eg} cos(k_f x) + h.c. \tag{17}$$

Here, $h.c.$ is for hermitian conjugate, $a^\dagger(a)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator of photons for the cavity mode field and and $b^\dagger$ ($b$) is the corresponding creation (annihilation) operator of phonons for the ion vibrational center-of-mass. The ion center-of-mass position operator is $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\nu}}(b^\dagger + b)$, while $\sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$ and $\sigma_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$, $i, j = \{e, g\}$are the Pauli operators.

Next, we consider the so-called Lamb-Dick regime, $\eta_\alpha = \bar{n}k_\alpha/\sqrt{1/2m\nu} \ll 1$, $\alpha = l, f$ and $\bar{n_\alpha}$ is the average photon/phonon number. Thus, in the interaction picture and after discarding the counter rotating terms in the so-called rotating wave approximation (RWA), assuming that $\omega_0 - \omega_l \approxeq \nu$ and $\omega_0 \approxeq \omega_f$, the Hamiltonian above reads

$$H_{RWA} = i\eta_l \Omega b \sigma_{eg} \exp[i(\omega_0 - \omega_l - \nu)t] + \lambda a \sigma_{eg} \exp[i(\omega_0 - \omega_f)] + h.c. \tag{18}$$

An effective Hamiltonian can be obtained from the above one by requiring $|\eta_l\Omega| \sqrt{\langle b^\dagger b\rangle}, |\lambda| \sqrt{\langle a^\dagger a\rangle} \ll |\omega_0 - \omega_l - \nu|, |\omega_0 - \omega_f|$, and neglecting the highly oscillating terms stemming from[6]

$$H_{eff} = -iH(t) \int^t H(t')dt', \tag{19}$$

where, in this notation, the lower limit is to be ignored. From Eq.(18) the following effective Hamiltonian is obtained, provided the atom internal state be prepared in the eigenstate of $\sigma_{gg}$:

$$H_{eff} = \omega_a a^\dagger a + \omega_b b^\dagger b + g a^\dagger b + g^* b^\dagger a, \tag{20}$$

where $\omega_a = |\lambda_a|^2/(\omega_0 - \nu)$; $\omega_b = \eta^2 |\Omega|^2/(\omega_l - \omega_0)$; $g = i\Omega\eta_l\lambda_a^*/(\omega_0 - \nu)$ and an irrelevant constant was disregarded. We can simplify further by choosing $\omega_a = \omega_b = \omega$ such that the effective Hamiltonian, after the unitary operation $U = \exp -i\omega t \left(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b\right)$, reads

$$H_{eff} = ga^{\dagger}b + g^{*}b^{\dagger}a. \tag{21}$$

The desired charge-conjugation operation is obtained applying a laser pulse of duration $\tau$ satisfying $g\tau = \pi/2\exp(i3\pi/2)$. It is to be noted that the particle and antiparticle behavior is encoded in the cavity-mode field, whose quanta creation is denoted by $a^{\dagger}$, and in the vibrational motion of the ion center-of-mass, whose quanta creation in turn is $b^{\dagger}$.

The charge-conjugation operator engineering involving just two vibrational center-of-mass motions along the axis $x$ and $y$ of a single ion of mass $m$ can be attained in the following way. Consider the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_I$ for a two-level ion constrained in a two-dimensional harmonic trap driven by two traveling wave fields, characterized by the two frequencies $\nu_x$ and $\nu_y$ propagating in $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively [8], with

$$H_0 = \nu_x a^{\dagger}a + \nu_y b^{\dagger}b + \frac{\omega_0}{2}\sigma_z \tag{22}$$

$$H_1 = \sigma_{eg}\Omega_x \exp[-i\left(k_x x - \omega_x t\right)] + \sigma_{eg}\Omega_y \exp[-i\left(k_y y - \omega_y t\right)] + h.c., \tag{23}$$

where $x = \sqrt{1/m\nu_x}(a+a^{\dagger})$ and $y = \sqrt{1/m\nu_y}(b+b^{\dagger})$ are the center-of-mass position operators of the ion in the $x-y$ plane, $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$ are the frequencies of the traveling fields of wave vectors $k_x$, $k_y$. As before, the frequency of transition between the excited state $|e\rangle$ and the ground state $\lfloor g\rangle$ is $\omega_0$ and $\sigma_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$, $i,j = g,e$. In the interaction picture and assuming that $\eta_x = k_x\sqrt{1/m\nu_x}, \eta_y = k_y\sqrt{1/m\nu_y} \ll 1$, the Hamiltonian above reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_{INT} = h.c. &+ \Omega_x\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_x-\omega_0)t\right] - i\eta_x a\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_x-\omega_0+\nu_x)t\right] - i\eta_x a^{\dagger}\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_x-\omega_0-\right. \\ &+ \Omega_y\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_y-\omega_0)t\right] - i\eta_y b\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_y-\omega_0+\nu_y)t\right] - i\eta_y b^{\dagger}\sigma_{eg}\exp\left[-i(\omega_y-\omega_0-\nu_y)t\right] \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Now, by adjusting $\omega_\alpha \neq \omega_0$, $\alpha = x,y$, such that $|\omega_\alpha - \omega_0|\, t \gg 1$, $\omega_x - \omega_0 \cong -\nu_x$ and $\omega_y - \omega_0 \cong -\nu_y$, we can disregard the the highly oscillating terms (RWA) such that in the weak coupling regime where $|\Omega_\alpha|\sqrt{\overline{n}_\alpha} \ll \delta_\alpha$, we are left with

$$H_{RWA} = -i\eta_x a\sigma_{eg}\exp\left(-i\delta_x t\right) + i\eta_x a^{\dagger}\sigma_{ge}\exp\left(i\delta_x t\right) - i\eta_y b\sigma_{eg}\exp\left(-i\delta_y t\right) + i\eta_y b^{\dagger}\sigma_{ge}\exp\left(i\delta_y t\right), \tag{25}$$

and $\delta_\alpha = \omega_\alpha - \omega_0 + \nu_\alpha \cong 0$, $\alpha = x, y$ . A particularly simple effective Hamiltonian is found if we let $\delta_x = \delta_y$. In this case, using Eq.(12) we found that the dynamics of the internal state decouples from the external ones, such that $H_{total} = \sigma_z \oplus H_{eff}$, with

$$H_{eff} = \frac{\eta_x^2}{\delta} a^\dagger a + \frac{\eta_y^2}{\delta} b^\dagger b + \frac{\eta_x \eta_y}{\delta} \left(a^\dagger b + a b^\dagger\right). \tag{26}$$

If we further choose $\nu_x = \nu_y$ or, equivalently, $\omega_x = \omega_y$, then the Hamiltonian corresponding the charge-conjugation operator Eq.(7) can be tailored adjusting $\frac{\eta^2}{\delta}\tau = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ in Eq.(26).

Consider now the charge conjugation for fermions, Eq.(15). As previously done for bosons, we will interested in just a single mode and a well defined spin vector, such that we can write Eq.(15) as $C = \exp\left[-\frac{i\pi}{2}\left[\left(d^\dagger c + c^\dagger d\right) - \left(c^\dagger c + d^\dagger d\right)\right]\right]$, with the particle and antiparticle operator obeying the anticomutation relation. To engineer this Hamiltonian, let us consider now two two-level ions 1 and 2, having the internal states described by pseudo-spin operators $\sigma_1^+$,$\sigma_1^-$, $\sigma_2^+$, $\sigma_2^-$ possessing the same algebra as those of $c^\dagger$,$c$, $d^\dagger$ and $d$, respectively, while the one-dimension harmonic motional states of each atom are described by the creation and annihilation bosonic operators $b_1^\dagger$, $b_1$, $b_2^\dagger$, and $b_2$. These two ions are put into the same cavity containing a single mode of frequency $\omega_a$ of a electromagnetic standing wave, such that the Hamiltonian for this system can be written as $H = H_0 + H_1$ , with ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H_0 = \omega_a a^\dagger a + \nu_1 b_1^\dagger b_1 + \nu_2 b_2^\dagger b_2 + \frac{\omega_{01}}{2}\sigma_1^z + \frac{\omega_{02}}{2}\sigma_2^z \tag{27}$$

$$H_1 = \lambda_1 a \sigma_1^+ \cos\left(\eta_1 x_1\right) + \lambda_2 a \sigma_2^+ \cos\left(\eta_2 x_2\right) + h.c., \tag{28}$$

where, in $H_0$, $a^\dagger$ and $a$ are the creation and annihilation operator in Fock space for the cavity mode field, $\nu_1$ ($\nu_2$) is the frequency of the ion trap 1 (2) $\omega_{01}$ ($\omega_{02}$) is the frequency of transition from the ground to the excited state of ion 1 (2), and, in $H_1$, $\eta_\alpha = k_a\sqrt{1/m\nu_\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, is the Lamb-Dick parameter, and$\lambda_1$ ($\lambda_2$) describes the strength of the coupling between the standing wave and the ion placed at position $x_1$ ($x_2$) .

Assuming that $\eta_\alpha \ll 1$ and moving to the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian above reads

$$H_1 = \lambda_1 a \sigma_1^+ \exp\left[i\left(\omega_{o1} - \omega_a\right)t\right] + \lambda_2 a \sigma_2^+ \exp\left[i\left(\omega_{o2} - \omega_a\right)t\right] + h.c. \tag{29}$$

For two identical ions, $\omega_{o1} = \omega_{o2} = \omega_o$; $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$, and under the assumption of weak coupling, $|\lambda_\alpha| \sqrt{\overline{n}} \ll \delta_\alpha = (\omega_{o\alpha} - \omega_a)$, using Eq.(19) the following effective Hamiltonian is obtained:

$$H_{eff} = \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}\sigma_1^+\sigma_1^- + \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}\sigma_2^+\sigma_2^- + \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}a^\dagger a\left(\sigma_1^z + \sigma_2^z\right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}\left(\sigma_1^+\sigma_2^- + \sigma_1^-\sigma_2^+\right). \tag{30}$$

If the system is tailored to fit $\frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}\tau = \pm\pi/2$, and the cavity starts from the vacuum state, then the above Hamiltonian gives rise to the desired charge-conjugation evolution operator

$$C = \exp\left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\pm\left(\sigma_1^+\sigma_1^- + \sigma_2^+\sigma_2^-\right) \pm \left(\sigma_1^+\sigma_2^- + \sigma_1^-\sigma_2^+\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{31}$$

which is similar to the charge-conjugation operator $C = \exp\left[-\frac{i\pi}{2}\left[\left(d^\dagger c + c^\dagger d\right) - \left(c^\dagger c + d^\dagger d\right)\right]\right]$.

Before to finish this Section, let us briefly stress the feasibility of our proposal. In order to engineer the Hamiltonians allowing to simulate the particle and antiparticle charge-conjugation, we impose the Lamb-Dick approximation, which consists in assuming the ion confined within a region much smaller than the laser wavelength, such that the we can safely choose the Lamb-Dick parameter as $\eta \sim 0.1$ [5]. For the case of bosons, as for instance Eq.(21), a one-dimensional trap is required, and we imposed the condition for the laser frequencies $\omega_a = \omega_b = \omega$, which, in turns, requires that $|\lambda_a|^2/(\omega_0 - \nu) = \eta^2|\Omega|^2/(\omega_l - \omega_0)$. As for example, for a fixed atom-coupling parameter around $\lambda \sim 10^5 s^{-1}$in the microwave domain, this condition can be obtained by adjusting either the laser and the atomic transition frequencies, $\omega_l$ and $\omega_0$, or the laser intensity $\Omega$, since the mechanical frequency $\nu$, being much lesser than the electromagnetic ones, is irrelevant here. In a similar way, to engineer the Hamiltonian Eq.(26), a bi-dimensional ion trap is required, and the particle and anti-particle simulation is encoded in the vibrational states of the ion in the $x$ and $y$ directions. The condition $\frac{\eta^2}{\delta}\tau = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ can easily be satisfied by adjusting the external laser frequencies $\omega_x = \omega_y = \omega$, the ion internal transition frequency $\omega_0$, the ion vibrational frequencies $\nu_x = \nu_y = \nu$ in order to obtain a small detuning $\pm\delta = \omega - \omega_0 - \nu$, and the laser pulse duration $\tau$. On the other hand, to engineer the Hamiltonian Eq.(30) that simulates the fermion charge-conjugation operator, Eq.(31), the internal states of two identical two-level ions, trapped into the same cavity, are needed. The condition to be matched is that now the strength of the coupling $\lambda$, the pulse duration $\tau$ and the detuning between the cavity mode frequency $\omega$ and the internal transition frequency $\omega_0$ of the ions obey $\frac{\lambda^2}{\delta}\tau = \pm\pi/2$, which, although feasible, is indeed a more stringent condition.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have proposed a method to engineer the unitary charge conjugation operator as known from quantum field theory for bosons as well as for fermions [1]. Although easily extendable to other contexts such as cavity QED [7], we focus on the highly controllable scenario of trapped ions where quantum controls of single ion states are daily being reported [9], thus opening the possibility of simulating particle and antiparticle charge conjugation. To engineer the bosonic charge-conjugation operator, we relies on two method: the first one uses both a single mode of a vibrational ion state and the single mode of a cavity field state, where the ion is trapped; the second method uses the vibrational harmonic states of a single trapped ion in two different directions. To engineer the charge-conjugation operator for fermions, we propose a scheme which is based on two two-level ions trapped into the same single-mode cavity, such that the fermionic operators are simulated by the pseudo-spin operator related to the internal states of the ions.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledge financial support from the Brazilian agency CNPq and Dr. Juan Mateos Guilarte for the kind hospitality during the stay in USAL. This work was performed as part of the Brazilian National Institute of Science and Technology (INCT) for Quantum Information.

---

[1] Greiner W and Reinhardt J 1993 *Field Quantization* (Springer)

[2] Peskin M E and Schroeder D V (1997) *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Addison Wesley)

[3] Greenberg O W 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 231602

[4] Ryder L H *Quantum Field Theory* 1996 (Cambridge University Press 2nd Edition)

[5] Meekhof D M et *al.* 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76 1796.

[6] James D F V 2000 *Fortschr. Phys.* 48 823

[7] A Hamiltonian of the type of Eq.(14) involving two electromagnetic modes of a bimodal high Q cavity was recently derived in Serra R M, Villas-Bôas C J, de Almeida N G and Moussa M H

Y 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* 71 045802; Prado F O, de Almeida N G, Moussa M H Y and Villas-Bôas C J 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* 73 043803, first using a three-level atom, and then a two-level atom.

[8] Moya-Cessa H, Soto-Eguibar F, Vargas-Martínez, J M, Júarez-Amaro R and Zúñiga-Segundo A 2012 *Phys. Rep.* 513 229

[9] Zipkes C, Palzer S, Sias C and Köhl M 2010 *Nature* 464 388